



Decision

Matter of: Perspecta Enterprise Solutions, LLC

File: B-417951; B-417951.2

Date: October 7, 2019

DECISION

Perspecta Enterprise Solutions, LLC, of Herndon, Virginia, protests the establishment of a Federal Supply Schedule blanket purchase agreement (BPA) with CSRA, LLC, a General Dynamics Information Technology company, of Falls Church, Virginia, under request for quotations (RFQ) No. 47QTCA-19-Q-0001, issued by the General Services Administration (GSA), in collaboration with the Defense Information Systems Agency, for information technology services. The protester challenges the agency's evaluation and award determination. The protester also raises allegations of unfair competitive advantage in favor of CSRA and unmitigable organizational conflicts of interest (OCI).

The jurisdiction of our Office is established by the bid protest provisions of the Competition in Contracting Act of 1984, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3556. Our role in resolving bid protests is to ensure that the statutory requirements for full and open competition are met. Pacific Photocopy and Research Servs., B 278698, B 278698.3, Mar. 4, 1998, 98-1 CPD ¶ 69 at 4.

Subsequent to the filing of this protest, the agency notified our Office of its intent to take corrective action. Specifically, GSA states it will amend the RFQ to address changes in requirements to ensure the RFQ accurately reflects the government's current needs, and will allow the vendors an opportunity to submit revised quotations. Agency Notice (Oct. 2, 2019), at 1. The agency also states that, following the opportunity to submit revised quotations, it may confer with vendors to "clear up" quote details consistent with the RFQ. Id. In addition, GSA states that, during this process, it "shall ensure fair and consistent treatment of [vendors] in conferring with [vendors]," and that it "expects to evaluate the revised quotations under the non-price factors consistent with the RFQ, which shall remedy errors." Id. The agency also states that, based on these corrective measures, it will conduct a new tradeoff analysis to determine the quotation that presents the best value, and will either re-affirm the original awardee or cancel the BPA and select a new awardee as applicable. Id. Finally, the agency states that it "expects to complete its fact-finding and make determinations regarding the [p]rotester's

allegations of unfair competitive advantage and [OCI], and notify the parties of those determinations,” prior to the agency making a best value determination. Id.

The agency’s corrective action renders the protest academic. Sun Chem. Corp., B-288466 et al., Oct. 17, 2001, 2001 CPD ¶ 185 at 12. We will not consider a protest where the issue presented has no practical consequences with regard to an existing federal government procurement, and thus is of purely academic interest.

The protest is dismissed.

Thomas H. Armstrong
General Counsel